

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

OPTICOMP CORPORATION,
Plaintiff,
vs.
SCOTTSDALE INDEMNITY COMPANY,
DOES I through X, inclusive
Defendant.

CASE NO.: 3:10-cv-00775-RCJ-RAM

**ORDER FOR
DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE**

18 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the Plaintiff, OptiComp Corporation, and
19 Defendant, Scottsdale Indemnity Company, parties hereto, by and through their respective counsel, Law
20 Offices of Curtis B. Coulter, P.C., for Plaintiff, and Hall Jaffe & Clayton, LLP, for Defendant, that this

21 //

22 | //

23 //

24 111

25 | 111

26 | 116

25

88 164

1 matter be dismissed with prejudice, each party to bear its own costs and attorney fees.
2

3 DATED this 26th day of May, 2011. DATED this 26 day of May, 2011.
4

5 LAW OFFICES OF CURTIS B. COULTER, P.C. HALL JAFFE & CLAYTON, LLP
6

7 By /s/ C. Coulter via email 5/26/11
8

Curtis B. Coulter, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 003573
403 Hill Street
Reno, Nevada 89501
Attorney for Plaintiff

By Riley A. Clayton
Riley A. Clayton, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 005260
7455 W. Washington Ave., Suite 460
Las Vegas, Nevada 89128
Attorney for Defendant

10

11 **ORDER**

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 Dated 06/07/2011
14

15 R. Jones
16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
17